

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark-Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
09/540,312	03/31/2000	Michael Becker	5478 1264		
7590 04/08/2005			EXAMINER		
PATRICK J. O' SHEA O'SHEA, GETZ & KOSAKOWSKI, P.C.			GRIER, LAURA A		
1500 MAIN STREET SUITE, 912			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPRINGFIELD, MA 01115			2644		
		DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/540,312		BECKER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Laura A Gri		2644				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event nication. days, a reply within the statute tory period will apply and will all by statute, cause the applic	, however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the top to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2005.								
· —	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,8,10,12-17,22-24 and 28-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7-8, 10, 3133 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 12-17,22-24,28 and 30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 29 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internations  see the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority documen al Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		) 🔲 Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal Pa ) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 2644

**DETAILED ACTION** 

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

2. The indicated allowability of claims 19 (cancelled – now incorporated into claim 30) is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Tanihira et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 12 (dependent claims 13-17) recites the limitation "first sound generating input unit" in line 8 and 11, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Regarding claim 12, line 8 and 11, respectively recites "first sound generating input unit". It is unclear as whether or not the first sound system input and the first sound generating input unit is the same device.

Art Unit: 2644

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 30, 22-24, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanihira et al., U. S. Patent No. 5574514.

Regarding claim 30, Tanihira discloses an audio/video device for a communication system. Tanihira's disclosure, in the environment of a automobile/vehicle, comprises audio, video and multimedia devices (electronic device(s) or unit(s)) – figure 2 - 63, 31-35, 41, and 43 - that inherently constitute as inputs units for receiving a priority value and having an interface, as evident by the fact that the priority value of each unit is stored in the memory of the device which gives a particular unit functioning priority of another device based on the unit's priority value, which reads on a 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> input unit, a bus (71) – abstract, col. 2, lines 55-62, col. 3, lines 1-45, col. 4, lines 55-col. 5, lines 1-9, which constitutes as a system bus; the audio devices (31-35), reads on a plurality of sound system generating components, therein.

Regarding claim 22-24, and 28, Tanihira discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 30). Tanihira's disclosure indicates that priority values of the respective electronic units (audio, video and multimedia) are transmitted respectively throughout the bus when activated which indicates which device has priority over the other in respect to each stored

Art Unit: 2644

priority valued stored in its memory.(abstract), which reads on the respective claim limitations, therein.

#### **Double Patenting**

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claim 12 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6157725 in view of 5574514.

Regarding claim 12, Becker discloses in claims 1, 6-8, and 11-12, and 26, a control unit, a display and input unit, multimedia units, a multimedia unit for generating audio source data and bus system, which indicates sending a control signal, receiving from each multimedia data generating unit, and configuring a display, all in respect to implement a functional scope of authority. However, Becker fails to disclose the control unit (signal) including a priority value for the input unit.

Regarding the priority value, in a similar field of endeavor, Tanihira discloses a communication system within a vehicle that includes each electronic unit (audio, video or multimedia unit) comprising a priority value which is transmitted along with informational data

of a device that allows respective electronic units to have priority over the other in respect to it priority value (abstract and col. 2, lines 55-62, col. 3, lines 1-45, col. 4, lines 55-col. 5, lines 1-9 and figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Becker by providing priority values to be transmitted along with other audio information for various audio or audio related devices for the purpose of enabling automatic control of various audio or multimedia device with functioning the same system in respect to the device's superior performance characteristics as taught by Tanihira.

Regarding claim 13, Becker and Tanihira discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 12). Becker discloses in claim 26 a memory unit, wherein upon the an attendant may make modifications, which reads on receiving and storing functional scope information.

However, Becker fails to disclose the control unit (signal) including a priority value for the input unit.

Regarding the priority value, in a similar field of endeavor, Tanihira discloses a communication system within a vehicle that includes each electronic unit (audio, video or multimedia unit) comprising a priority value which is transmitted along with informational data of a device that allows respective electronic units to have priority over the other in respect to it priority value (abstract and col. 2, lines 55-62, col. 3, lines 1-45, col. 4, lines 55-col. 5, lines 1-9 and figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Becker by providing priority values to be transmitted along with other audio information for various audio or audio related devices for the purpose of

Art Unit: 2644

enabling automatic control of various audio or multimedia device with functioning the same system in respect to the device's superior performance characteristics as taught by Tanihira.

Regarding claim 14, Becker and Tanihira discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 12). Becker discloses in claim 26 a memory unit, wherein upon the an attendant may make modifications, which reads on receiving and storing functional scope information.

However, Becker fails to disclose the control unit (signal) including a priority value for the input unit.

Regarding the priority value, in a similar field of endeavor, Tanihira discloses a communication system within a vehicle that includes each electronic unit (audio, video or multimedia unit) comprising a priority value which is transmitted along with informational data of a device that allows respective electronic units to have priority over the other in respect to it priority value (abstract and col. 2, lines 55-62, col. 3, lines 1-45, col. 4, lines 55-col. 5, lines 1-9 and figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Becker by providing priority values to be transmitted along with other audio information for various audio or audio related devices for the purpose of enabling automatic control of various audio or multimedia device with functioning the same system in respect to the device's superior performance characteristics as taught by Tanihira.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 1-3, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 31-33 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2644

12. Claim 29 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. Claims 15-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 10, 12-17, 22-24, 28-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The applicant made remarks in respect to the amended changes of previously objected subject matter. However, in respect further consideration a new rejection has been provided based on a Double Patenting Rejection and another new reference of prior art related to the invention's concept of providing priority values to audio or audio related device connected together in a audio system with a vehicle.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh N Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 4, 2005